



- Member of National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative -

JUL 29 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd 3 Copies
List ABCDE

USSB service. This hinders effective competition, and as a consequence keeps the price of the Time Warner/Viacom channels unnecessarily high. It also increases consumer confusion at the retail level.

Not having access to the Time Warner/Viacom services has also adversely affected my ability to compete against other sources for television in my area. Many of my customers do not understand why they cannot purchase HBO and Showtime from you. This could very well lead to customers turning down DIRECTV.

We believe very strongly that the 1992 Cable Act flatly prohibits any exclusive arrangements that prevent any distributor from gaining access to cable programming to serve rural non-cabled areas. That is why we supported the Tauzin Amendment, embodied in Section 19 of the Act.


We ask the FCC to remedy these problems so that the effective competition requirements of Section 19 become a reality in rural America. I strongly urge you to banish the type of exclusionary arrangements represented by the USSB/Time Warner/Viacom deal.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,



Dr. G.S. Walker



ocmulgee communications, inc.

- Member of National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative -

DOUGLAS W. BRYANT
General Sales Manager

July 27, 1994

The Honorable Reed Hundt
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Rm. 814
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Cable Competition Report
CS Docket No. 94-48

Dear Chairman Hundt:

I am writing this letter in support of the Comments of the National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative (NRTC) in the matter of Implementation of Section 19 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming, CS Docket No. 94-48.

As an affiliate of NRTC and distributor of the DIRECTV direct broadcast satellite (DBS) television service, my company is directly involved in bringing satellite television to rural consumers.

However, despite passage of the 1992 Cable Act, my company's ability to compete in our local marketplace is being hampered by our lack of access to programming owned by Time Warner and Viacom.

This programming, which includes some of the most popular cable networks like HBO, Showtime, Cinemax, The Movie Channel, MTV, Nickelodeon and others, is available only to my principle competitor, the United States Satellite Broadcasting Co. (USSB), as a result of an "exclusive" contract signed between USSB and Time Warner/Viacom.

In contrast, none of the programming distribution contracts signed by DIRECTV are exclusive in nature, and USSB is free to obtain distribution rights for any of the channels available on DIRECTV.

Mr. Hundt, my organization agrees with the NRTC that these exclusive programming contracts run counter to the intent of the 1992 Cable Act. I believe that the Act prohibits any arrangement that prevents any distributor from gaining access to programming to serve non-cable rural areas. Under the present circumstance, if one of my DIRECTV subscribers also wishes to receive Time Warner/Viacom product, that subscriber must purchase a second subscription to the

RECEIVED
JUL 29 '94
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

USSB service. This hinders effective competition, and as a consequence keeps the price of the Time Warner/Viacom channels unnecessarily high. It also increases consumer confusion at the retail level.


Not having access to the Time Warner/Viacom services has also adversely affected my ability to compete against other sources for television in my area. Many of my customers do not understand why they cannot purchase HBO and Showtime from you. This could very well lead to customers turning down DIRECTV.

We believe very strongly that the 1992 Cable Act flatly prohibits any exclusive arrangements that prevent any distributor from gaining access to cable programming to serve rural non-cabled areas. That is why we supported the Tauzin Amendment, embodied in Section 19 of the Act.

We ask the FCC to remedy these problems so that the effective competition requirements of Section 19 become a reality in rural America. I strongly urge you to banish the type of exclusionary arrangements represented by the USSB/Time Warner/Viacom deal.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Lawrence Rogers", written in black ink.

Lawrence Rogers